

The Western Australian royal commission into bushfires (1961) was one of several official inquiries to benefit from McArthur's advice. He participated in a number of international conferences, and wrote or co-authored some sixty papers on the behaviour and effects of fire and on watershed management. With R. H. Luke, he published *Bushfires in Australia* (Canberra, 1978) which remains an authoritative text.

An athlete in his youth, McArthur took up golf and enjoyed a game of bridge. He suffered from diabetes mellitus, retired in July 1978 and died of pneumonia on 9 November that year in Canberra Hospital. Survived by his wife, son and daughter, he was cremated with Presbyterian forms. He had been elected a fellow (1978) of the Institute of Foresters of Australia and was posthumously awarded the N. W. Jolly medal for that year.”

This month, nearly 31 years after his untimely death, McArthur's legacy has once again received a minor facelift with the benefit of hindsight. McArthur's Fire Danger Meters (FDM), which determine the FDI, have been for many years, distributed widely within Australia to authorities with responsibility for fire suppression. In McArthur's original system, an index value of 100 was intended to represent the 'worst possible' fire weather conditions likely to be expected in Australia, where “fires will burn so fast and hot that control is virtually impossible”. However, this value has been exceeded on several occasions since 1966. Thus, while the original FDM was divided into five fire danger ratings (Low, Moderate, High, Very High, and Extreme) representing the degree of difficulty of suppression, the new Fire Danger Meter has six ratings:

- Catastrophic - FDI = 100+
- Extreme - FDI = 75 - 99
- Severe - FDI = 50 - 74
- Very High - FDI = 25 - 49
- High - FDI = 12 - 24
- Low/Moderate - FDI = 0 - 11

You may have noticed that McArthur was also a strong advocate of controlled burning, “a practice he regarded as essential in containing the disastrous fires to which Australian native forests are prone”. Amongst other things this concept was recently thoroughly studied by the CSIRO in their Project Vesta - Australia's most comprehensive study of forest fire behaviour, investigated the behaviour and spread of high-intensity bushfires in dry eucalypt forests with different fuel ages and understorey vegetation structures.

In their 218–page report on Project Vesta, published in 2008, Messrs Gould, McCaw, Cheney, Ellis, Knight, and Sullivan, found that “reducing fuel loads by prescribed burning reduces the rate of spread, flame height and intensity of a fire, as well as the number and distance of spot fires, by changing the structure of the fuel bed and reducing the total fuel load”. It was also found that “when understorey shrubs regenerate after prescribed burning this does not necessarily mean an increase in the rate of spread of a fire because a significant near-surface fuel layer takes time to build up” and “using prescribed burning to lower bark hazard reduces the density of firebrands”.

Thus, of the factors affecting fire behaviour: fuel, with it's variables of type (the finer it is the faster it burns), load and orientation; heat, which is influenced by fuel moisture (the drier fuel, the faster and hotter it burns); and oxygen (high wind can create a “blast furnace effect” making fires burn hotter and spread faster), fuel is the only factor capable of being influenced in advance! Something to contemplate as the bushfire season descends upon us.

PREPARE . ACT . SURVIVE

Michael Jones
44738671